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## The Busy Beaver Problem

"Consider, for a fixed positive integer $n$, the class $K_{n}$ of all the $n$ card [state] binary Turing machines ... Let $M$ be a Turing machine in this class $K_{n}$. Start M, with its card 1, on an all-0 tape. If $M$ stops after a while, then $M$ is termed a valid entry in the BB-n contest ... and its score $\sigma(M)$ is the number of 1's remaining on the tape at the time it stops ... [the set of $\sigma$-values] has a (unique) largest element which we denote by $\Sigma(n)$... It is practically trivial that this function $\Sigma(n)$ is not general recursive ... [but] it may be possible to determine the value of $\Sigma(n)$ for particular values of $n$."
-Lin \& Rado "Computer Studies of Turing Machine Problems" Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery, Vol. 12, No. 2 (April, 1964), pp. 196-212

## Problem: How do we know when it stops?

- Turing Machine halting problem:
- Turing Machine $M$
- Input tape w
- Function : given any TM $M$ and any Input tape $w$, return whether or not $M$ halts on $w$.
- This function does not exist
- However!
- Certain routines can be defined which identify whether or not a particular machine exhibits a specific non halting behavior
- The Busy Beaver problem exhibits several recognizable behaviors


## Backtracking



## Subset Loops
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- A Turing Machine $M$ is classified as a subset loop if
- There is a set of states $S$ such that every possible transition from each state in $S$ is defined
- Every transition defined from a state in $S$ is a transition to another state in $S$
- During execution, at some point the machine enters one of the states in $S$
start

- A machine is classified as a simple loop if (given words of arbitrary length $X$, $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{V}$, and C and state $s$ ):
- The following tape configuration is reached: $0^{*}[C]\left[X_{s}\right][Y] 0^{*}$ -and one of the following-
- The same tape configuration is reached at a later point
-or-
- The following tape configuration is reached at a later point: $0^{*}[C][V]\left[X_{s}\right][Y] 0^{*}$
- Between these points, the read head never moves past the left edge of the initial X
- The corresponding mirror of the above specification also identifies a simple loop
-Machlin and Stout. "The Complex Behavior of Simple Machines" Physica D 42 (1990). pp. 85-98


## Example: Simple Loop



- The tape configuration of the most recent occurrence of each <state, symbol> pair is saved
- This particular instance is the pair <4,1>
- Example machine is a mirror version of the simple loop specification
- The next tape configuration of $\langle 4,1>$ is compared to the previous
- In this case, all components are identifiable and match
- Location of read head is in same relative location
- The read head never moves to the right of the original X (remember this is a mirror simple loop)
- All conditions are satisfied, machine is a simple loop non-halter


## Christmas Trees

- In the general sense, a christmas tree non-halter sweeps back and forth across the tape in a repeatable manner:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathbf{1 1 0 1 0 1 0} \\ 1101010 \\ 1101010 \\ 1111010 \\ 1111010 \\ 1111 n 1 n\end{array}\right.$
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## Christmas Tree Detection: Step 1

| 0* [U] [V] 0* | $s=2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1110 | State 2 |
| 1110 | State 3 |
| 1110 | State 0 |
| 1010 | State 3 |
| 1010 | State 3 |
| 01010 | State 0 |
| 11010 | State 1 |
| 11010 | State 2 |
| 11010 | State 0 |
| 11110 | State 1 |
| 11110 | State 2 |
| 11110 | State 0 |
| 11111 | State 1 |
| 111110 | State 2 |
| 0* [U] [X] [V] 0* | $\mathrm{S}=2$ |

- The tape exhibits a back and forth sweeping motion
- After one sweep, the tape has the following configuration:
- $0^{*}[\mathrm{U}]\left[\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}\right] 0^{*}$
- After the next sweep, a new middle part, and the same end parts are seen:
$-0^{*}[U][X]\left[V_{s}\right] 0^{*}$


## Christmas Tree Detection: Step 2



- The following holds:
- $\left.0^{*}\left[U^{\prime}\right][Z][V] s\right] 0^{*}=0^{*}[U][X][X][V s] 0^{*}$
[11111110]
[11111110]



## Alternating Christmas Trees

- The machine transforms the tape much like a normal Christmas tree, however, it takes two sweeps across the tape rather than one to complete one cycle



## Alternating Christmas Trees

$$
\underset{\left.0^{*}\left[U^{*}\left[U^{\prime}\right][\mathrm{Z}][\mathrm{Z}][\mathrm{Z}][\mathrm{Z}][\mathrm{Z}][\mathrm{Y}][\mathrm{Y}][\mathrm{Z}]\left[\mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right] \mathrm{V}^{\prime}\right] 0^{*}\right]}{0^{*}}
$$

$0^{*}\left[U^{\prime \prime}\right][M][M][M][V "]{ }^{\prime} 0^{*}$ $0^{*}\left[U^{\prime \prime}\right][N][N][N]\left[V V^{\prime \prime}\right] 0^{*}$ 0*[U][X][X][X][X][V]0*

## Busy Beaver Non-Halters

|  | $\mathrm{n}=3$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=4$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=5$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| backTrack | 817 | $82.1106 \%$ | 47102 | $85.1538 \%$ | 3842187 | $87.1350 \%$ |
| subsetLoop | 17 | $1.7085 \%$ | 749 | $1.3541 \%$ | 38761 | $0.8790 \%$ |
| simpleLoop | 159 | $15.9799 \%$ | 7243 | $13.0943 \%$ | 508156 | $11.5242 \%$ |
| christmasTree | 2 | $0.2010 \%$ | 198 | $0.3580 \%$ | 18012 | $0.4085 \%$ |
| alternateChristmasTree | 0 | $0.0000 \%$ | 23 | $0.0416 \%$ | 2818 | $0.0639 \%$ |
| holdout | 0 | $0.0000 \%$ | 5 | $0.0090 \%$ | 2623 | $0.0595 \%$ |
| total | 995 |  | 55314 |  | 4409466 |  |

*Note: Machines are classified according to the first routine which tests positive. The detection routines are applied in succession from top to bottom for each individual machine.

## B4 Holdouts

- Two of the holdouts of the B4 exhibited the one other behavior specified by Brady but not yet implemented as a detection routine for this project
- These machines mimic binary counters by altering the tape in such a way that it progressively counts in binary format halt
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## B4-Counter1 Execution
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*Note: this machine generates binary numbers that read from right to left rather than the conventional left to right

## B4 Holdouts

- Two of the holdouts are very similar to alternating christmas trees


B4-unevenAlternateChristmasTree1


| 0 | State 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | State 1 |
| 10 | State 2 |
| 100 | State 3 |
| 100 | State 1 |
| 100 | State 0 |
| 0100 | State 0 |
| 1100 | State 1 |
| 1100 | State 2 |
| 1100 | State 1 |
| 1100 | State 0 |
| 1100 | State 0 |
| 01100 | State 0 |
| 11100 | State 1 |
| 11100 | State 2 |
| 11100 | State 1 |
| 11100 | State 2 |
| 11100 | State 3 |
| 11100 | State 1 |
| 11100 | State 0 |
| 11100 | State 0 |
| 11100 | State 0 |
| 011100 | State 0 |
| 111100 | State 1 |
| 111100 | State 2 |
| 111100 | State 1 |
| 111100 | State 2 |
| 111100 | State 1 |

## B4-uneven Alternate Christmas Tree1 Execution

- Recognizable alternating sweeping motion as seen in alternating Christmas trees
- Right boundary of intermediate sweep does not at least reach the right boundary of the previous major sweep
- Current implementation assumes that each sweep spans at least as far as the previous sweep
- Only minor modifications to the alternating Christmas tree routine should be necessary to account for this behavior


## B4 Holdouts

- The final holdout escapes the Christmas tree detection routine because of unusual startup effects


| 0 | State 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | State 1 |
| 10 | State 1 |
| 100 | State 2 |
| 100 | State 2 |
| 100 | State 2 |
| 0100 | State 3 |
| 00100 | State 0 |
| 10100 | State 1 |
| 10100 | State 1 |
| 10100 | State 2 |
| 10100 | State 3 |
| 10100 | State 0 |
| 010100 | State 0 |
| 110100 | State |
| 110100 | State |
| 110100 | State |
| 110100 | State 2 |
| 110100 | State 3 |
| 110100 | State 0 |
| 110100 | State 0 |
| 0110100 | State 0 |
| 1110100 | State 1 |
| 1110100 | State 1 |
| 1110100 | State |
| 1110100 | State 1 |
| 1110100 | State |

## B4-startup Effects Christmas Tree1 Execution

- The Christmas tree detection routine runs the machine for a hundred transitions or so before looking for Christmas tree behavior to account for startup effects
- These transitions, however, are still observed to establish left and right boundaries for each sweep of the tape
- This machine creates a false right boundary during the startup phase
- Again, only minor modifications to the Christmas tree routine should be necessary to account for this behavior


## Future Work

- Counter detection routines
- Brady goes into more detail regarding the behavior of Counters, specifying a grammar in the same format as the Christmas tree grammar
- Also mentions Counter variations (unary, binary, base-3, etc.)
- Christmas tree variations
- Account for startup effects shown in B4 holdout
- Uneven alternating Christmas trees
- Multi-sweep (3,4,5... sweeps) alternating Christmas trees (seen in several of the random B5 holdouts that l've looked at)
- Several more

